



Tim Penny QC

Call: 1988

Silk: 2016

tpenny@wilberforce.co.uk

Clerks' Details

+44 (0)20 7306 0102

practicemanagers@wilberforce.co.uk

Memberships

- Tim is a member of the LCIA and COMBAR

“Brilliant in all respects. He is tactically astute, quick to grapple with complex issues of law and a first-rate advocate.”

The Legal 500, 2022

Publications

- Author of the chapter on cross-border recognition of court appointed receivers in [Kerr & Hunter on Receivers and Administrators, 21st Edition](#)

Practice Overview

Tim is a specialist in high-value multi-jurisdictional commercial litigation, WFOs, Search Orders, commercial fraud and asset tracing, shareholder disputes, court-appointed receiverships, banking and financial services related claims, commercial arbitration, breach of confidence, 'soft' intellectual property and more recently cryptocurrency disputes

He has been instructed recently to advise and act in many high profile commercial cases, including acting for 2 of the 6 defendants in *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v Shetty & others* [2022] EWHC 529 (Comm) - a \$1B+ claim arising out of the collapse into administration of NMC Health PLC (2020-2022), 2 of the 6 defendants in the \$1B Commercial Court trial in *National Trust Bank v Yurov* [2020] EWHC 100 (Comm) and for the wife the high value divorce case *Akhmedova v Akhmedov* (2019-2020).

He has been involved in a number of important recent cases in the developing area of receiverships by way of equitable execution and cross-border recognition of court appointed receivers, and he is the author of the chapter on cross-border recognition of court appointed receivers in *Kerr & Hunter on Receivers and Administrators, 21st Edition*. He has also been involved in many of the leading recent cases on collective investment schemes in the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal. During 2020, he obtained a diploma in *Cryptocurrency* at LSE, and has been instructed recently on fraud claims involving the misappropriation of cryptocurrency.

Tim is called to the Bar in the BVI, and regularly litigates not only in the London Commercial Court and the BVI but also in Cayman and other offshore jurisdictions.

Tim is ranked in the directories in both commercial chancery and civil fraud.

Chambers and Partners 2022 notes that Tim **"presents very dense and factually intricate material in a really clear and persuasive way."** He is **"a really brilliant, thoughtful and compelling advocate. His written work is excellent, and he's a pleasure to work with."** and that **"he's extremely thorough and capable of getting through a lot of material while maintaining the quality of his drafting. In his oral submissions he's able to represent some very dense and factually intricate material in a really clear and persuasive way. An excellent leader."**



Practice Overview continued

The Legal 500 2022 describes Tim as *"an excellent advocate whose thoughtful, balanced and considered style is highly effective."* and *"brilliant in all respects. He is tactically astute, quick to grapple with complex issues of law and a first-rate advocate."*

Chambers and Partners 2021 describes Tim as *"A really brilliant, thoughtful and compelling advocate. His written work is excellent, and he's a pleasure to work with." "He's extremely thorough and capable of getting through a lot of material while maintaining the quality of his drafting. In his oral submissions he's able to represent some very dense and factually intricate material in a really clear and persuasive way. An excellent leader." "He is incredibly knowledgeable on civil fraud and freezing orders and has an assured advocacy style."*

The Legal 500 2021 notes that Tim is *"an impressive and charming advocate who has excellent judgement in choosing the points to run and the way to put them – he is particularly strong on jurisdictional issues and complex trust and tracing points."* Tim is also described as having a *"deep knowledge of the law, persuasive advocate, excellent in conference, a tremendous team player and a go-to QC for complex civil fraud"*.

Civil Fraud

Commercial fraud/cross-border cases

Tim has particular expertise in commercial fraud cases, many of which have an international flavour and involve cross-border issues such as jurisdiction disputes, anti-suit injunctions and foreign law considerations. He has excellent knowledge of search orders and worldwide freezing orders. These cases often involve cross-examination on asset disclosure, contempt of court applications and without notice applications testing the boundaries of the court's jurisdiction to ensure that assets are eventually available for the enforcement of judgments or arbitral awards.

Tim is also experienced in taking steps to assist fraud disputes taking place abroad (for example, by orders under s.25 CJA) or in arbitrations. Tim's cases often involve allegations of dishonesty, breach of fiduciary duty and conspiracy.

Some of his recent cases include:

- *Invest Bank v Al-Hussaini* [2022] EWHC 894 (Comm), 13 May 2022; Tim represented the claimant bank which seeks to enforce foreign judgments including by way of claims under s.423 of the Insolvency Act 1986.
- *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank PJSC v Shetty & others* [2022] EWHC 529 (Comm); 1 April 2022; Tim led the successful Defendants' applications to stay these proceedings (which involved a claim for \$1B in fraud / deceit) on the grounds of forum non conveniens, and to set aside the WFO. The case arises from the collapse into administration of the healthcare provider NMC Healthcare PLC.
- *VDHI v Mex Clearing*, 28 March 2022, Jack J, BVI Commercial Court, judgment dismissing the defendant's application to set aside a representative party order in this case involving alleged misappropriation from a number of Luxembourg fiduciary funds.
- *Merkanti Holding PLC & another v RBI*: CICA 16 March 2022, successfully upholding 1st instance decision on jurisdiction involving a consideration of the Cayman Fraudulent Dispositions Act and the tort of unlawful means conspiracy.
- *VDHI v Mex Clearing*, 17 January 2022, Jack J, BVI Commercial Court, judgment dismissing the defendants' applications objecting to the jurisdiction of the BVI Court.
- *Scully Royalty v RBI*: CICA CoA, 30 December 2021, successfully upholding 1st instance decision to grant a WFO against the 2 Cayman 'anchor' defendants, with the CICA CoA imposing a cap of €153 million in place of an unlimited order.
- *Wang v Darby* [2021] EWHC 3054 (Comm); November 2021: cryptocurrency dispute involving a WFO and an issue as to whether the cryptoassets in question were held on trust.
- *Wang v Darby* [2021] EWHC 3125 (Comm) November 2021: cryptocurrency dispute involving a proprietary injunction and a question whether the defendant should be entitled to use funds caught by the injunction to fund his legal fees.



Civil Fraud continued

- *Von Der Heydt Invest SA v Mex Clearing Limited & others* BVIHC (COM) 2021/0073 and 2020/p215, 19 October 2021, Jack J: judgment upholding and continuing a WFO and dismissing an application to discharge a WFO granted in connection with a claim by third party Noteholders under Luxembourg Loan Notes to set aside a Tomlin Order for fraud and unlawful means conspiracy.
- *Raiffeisen International Bank AG v Scully Royalty Ltd*, Cayman Grand Court, Parker J, March 2021: successful opposition to jurisdiction applications issued by 2 of the 8 defendants in this long-running asset-stripping dispute in Cayman, acting for the claimant bank.
- *Sebastian Holdings Inc. (acting by Court appointed receivers) v Sarek Holdings, Vik & others*, Supreme Court of the Turks & Caicos islands, 11 February 2021: judgment on consequential issues including the 'Barrell jurisdiction' and costs, acting for court-appointed receivers.
- *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v Shetty* [2020] EWHC 3692 (Comm): December 2020: application for the imposition of a limited confidentiality club on the 1st return date of a \$1B WFO relating to the collapse of NMC Health PLC, acting on behalf of the second and third defendants.
- *Akhmedova v Akhmedov*: [2020] EWHC 3005 (Fam), [2020] EWHC 3006 (Fam), 28 October and 4 November 2020: without notice application for a search order and judgment on the return date in relation to this high value case.
- *VTB Bank v Skurikhin* [2020] EWCA Civ 1337 (October 2020): Tim acted for the successful respondent in the English Court of Appeal in which the Court dismissed the appeal against the decision of the Commercial Court ([2019] EWHC 1407 (Comm)) whereby it dismissed the application of a Liechtenstein Foundation to discharge a receivership order obtained by Tim in 2015. Tim has acted in this matter for the claimant, a Russian Bank, since 2012. The case concerns the enforcement of Russian judgments totalling c.£20m against assets in England, Italy and the BVI. The judgment notably applies principles relating to issue estoppel and *Henderson v Henderson* abuse of the process.
- *Sebastian Holdings Inc. (acting by Court appointed receivers) v Sarek Holdings, Vik & others*, Supreme Court of the Turks & Caicos islands, 4 September 2020: Tim acts for receivers appointed by the English Commercial Court, who by this judgment obtained recognition of their appointment and powers in the TCI with a view to bringing high value proceedings in the TCI against parties alleged to have acted unlawfully by receiving assets belonging to Sebastian Holdings Inc., which is a judgment debtor of Deutsche Bank in England and Wales.
- *Raiffeisen International Bank AG v Scully Royalty Ltd*, Cayman Grand Court, Parker J, September 2020: Tim obtained an anti-suit injunction to restrain a defendant in this Cayman litigation from pursuing parallel proceedings in Malta.
- *Raiffeisen International Bank AG v Scully Royalty Ltd*, Cayman Grand Court, Parker J, 7 July 2020: Tim acts in this matter for the plaintiff, which claims that it is owed c €100m and that its guarantor was asset-stripped by the defendants. RBI asserts claims pursuant to the Cayman Fraudulent Dispositions Law 1989 (1996 Revision) and in the tort of unlawful means conspiracy. By this Judgment, Parker J held that RBI had established its right to injunctions against the Cayman defendants and to serve other defendants outside the Cayman jurisdiction.
- *National Bank Trust v Yurov & Others* [2020] EWHC 100 (Comm), 23 January 2020, [2020] EWHC 757 (Comm), 27 February 2020 Lengthy judgment of the Commercial Court Judge, and judgment on consequential issues, following a 9 week Commercial Court trial. Tim represented the 2nd and 5th Defendants in this case in which a Russian Bank claimed damages in the sum of c.US\$1 Billion against its former director/shareholders arising out of breaches of duty arising under Russian law.



Civil Fraud continued

- *VTB Bank v Taurus*, Jack J, Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court, BVI Commercial Court, 23 January 2020: Judgment of the BVI Court on the defendant's application to discharge an ex parte receivership, arising out of linked proceedings in England and Wales. This ongoing case involves claims by VTB Bank, the judgment creditor, that the judgment debtor is the beneficial owner of certain assets situate in the BVI.
- *Akhmedova v Akhmedov* [2019] EWHC 1705 (Fam). In this high profile divorce case, Tim successfully applied on notice for freezing order relief to prevent assets being removed from Dubai in support of the applicant's financial relief award of c.£500 million in the Family Division. The decision is notable for the finding of a good arguable case that individual directors of the corporate director of the respondent are to be treated as 'de facto' directors of the respondent.